

CHICAGO, IL 60603

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/875,460		06/05/2001	Dan Kikinis	ISURFTV136	6281	
52940	7590	11/21/2006		EXAMINER		
TODD S. P	ARKHU	RST		SALCE, J	ASON P	
HOLLAND	& KNIGI	HT LLP				
131 S. DEAI	RBORN S	STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
30TH FLOO	R			2623		

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/875,460	KIKINIS, DAN				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jason P. Salce	2623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION AT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> </u>					
2a)	, ——	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.L	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims			•			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-11,14-19 and 22-24</u> is/are p	ending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3, 6-11, 14-19 and 22-24</u> is/are	rejected.	•				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) = 3	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	I(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bur						
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Amarkan	Val						
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A\	ummary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	formal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Applicant's Arguments, filed 9/25/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-3, 6-11, 14-19 and 22-24 under 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Ellis ('208 Patent Application Publication) in view of Ellis ('926 Patent).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 9-11, 14-15, 17-19 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Application No. 2005/0028208) in view of Ellis et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,774,926).

Referring to claim 1, Ellis discloses receiving EPG selection entered over the Internet via a remote device (see Paragraphs 0014-0016).

Ellis also discloses storing the EPG selections on a storage device (see Paragraph 0072 for the remote program guide access device storing the EPG data).

Art Unit: 2623

Ellis also discloses transmitting the EPG selections to be displayed when requested (see Paragraph 0016 for making program selections remotely, which will be displayed on the TV).

Ellis is silent as to the EPG selection being entered via a web-enabled cellular phone.

Ellis ('926 Patent) discloses a cellular phone 42 with display 100, wherein the display 100 is used to display and select EPG items (see Column 7, Lines 18-26 and Column 8, Lines 60-67).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, to combine the systems of Ellis and Ellis ('926 Patent) in order to provide a system capable of utilizing a mobile phone having EPG capability as a remote controller, thereby providing the user with extended functionality and control and allowing the user to reserve programs from remote locations. Also note that Ellis ('926 Patent) specifically states that an advantage to the system allows the cell phone to access the schedule information and perform various functions, such as searching for programming of interest, establishing favorite programs, setting reminders and setting parental controls (see Column 1, Lines 40-46).

Referring to claims 2 and 3, Ellis discloses storing EPG selections on a broadcast server and set top box (see Paragraph 0017 and Figure 2).

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 4, Ellis further discloses the remote terminal can be a PDA (see Paragraph 0092).

Referring to claim 6, Ellis further discloses that the system displays EPOG selection on the remote terminal when requested (see Paragraph 0015).

Referring to claim 7, in light of the combined disclosures used to rejection claim 6, claim 7 would be an obvious variant. Transmitting EPG data to multiple remote terminals is obvious in light of the disclosure of Ellis already teaching transmittal to one remote terminal.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the teaching of Ellis is order to provide multiple separate devices, thereby allowing multiple users to utilize the system concurrently.

Referring to claims 9-11 and 14-15, see the rejection of claims 1-3 and 6-7, respectively.

Referring to claims 17-19 and 22-23, see the rejection of claims 1-3 and 6-7, respectively.

3. Claims 8, 16 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Application No. 2005/0028208) in view of Ellis

Art Unit: 2623

et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,774,926) in further view of Terakado et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,246,441).

Referring to claim 8, Ellis and Ellis ('926 Patent) disclose all of the limitations of claim 6, but are silent as to transmitting one or more programs to be separately displayed concurrently with displaying the EPG selections.

Terakado discloses (in the same field of endeavor) a similar system which is capable of allowing a user to view a program broadcast on the television while concurrently viewing the EPG data on the remote terminal (see Column 9, Lines 47-53).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the systems of Ellis, using the teachings of Terakado in order to provide a system capable of allowing a user to watch a broadcast program on the television receiver 9, while checking programs to be broadcast from a time onward by using an EPG without obstructing the display of the television receiver 9 (see Column 9, Lines 50-53 of Terakado).

Referring to claims 16 and 24, see the rejection of claim 8.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason P. Salce whose telephone number is (571) 272-7301. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am-6pm.

Art Unit: 2623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason P Salce Primary Examiner Art Unit 2623

November 16, 2006

JASON SALCE
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

yorn/